

FFTE

Daisuke Takahashi University of Tsukuba, Japan

About



- FFTE is a Fortran subroutine library for computing the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) in one or more dimensions.
- It includes real, complex, mixed-radix and parallel transform.
- FFTE may be faster than other publicallyavailable FFT implementations and vendortuned libraries.
- Available at http://www.ffte.jp/

Features



- Parallel transforms
 - Shared / Distributed memory parallel computers (OpenMP, MPI and OpenMP + MPI)
- High portability
 - Fortran + OpenMP + MPI
- Data layout
 - 1-D decomposition
 - 2-D decomposition (for parallel 3-D FFT)
- HPC Challenge Benchmark
 - FFTE's 1-D parallel FFT routine has been incorporated into the HPC Challenge (HPCC) benchmark.

Questions?



- 1) Why did you write your own FFT?
- 2) What considerations are important for you in an FFT implementation?
- 3) What might you look for if there were to be a unified FFT interface (similar to BLAS, LAPACK and SCALAPACK interfaces)?
- 4) How important are performance, portability, and scalability for you?
- 5) Will FFT be needed in exascale computing and if so how will it be achieved?

6) What would be a good FFT benchmark or a good way to include the FFT in a high-performance computer benchmark?

1) Why did you write your own FFT?



- FFTE is a byproduct developed when calculating pi to high precision.
 - In multiple-precision multiplication of more than several thousand decimal digits, FFT-based multiplication is the fastest method.
- In 1990's, there were no open source parallel FFT libraries.
- So I decided to develop a parallel FFT library by myself.

2) What considerations are important for you in an FFT implementation?



- Performance
 - Minimizing the number of cache misses
 - SIMD instructions
- Ease of use: routine interfaces
- Portability
 - Communication: MPI
 - Computation: Fortran + OpenMP

3) What might you look for if there were to be a unified FFT interface (similar to BLAS, LAPACK and SCALAPACK interfaces)?



- Currently, there are two de facto standards for the interfaces of FFT libraries.
 - FFTW's interface
 - Intel MKL's Discrete Fourier Transforms Interface (DFTI)
- These interfaces support only one-dimensional block distribution.
- I hope these interfaces also support two-dimensional (or more) distributions.

4) How important are performance, portability, and scalability for you?



- Performance is the most important.
- Scalability is also important for high performance.
- Portability should not be impaired for performance reasons.

5) Will FFT be needed in exascale computing and if so how will it be achieved?



- I think that FFT is still needed in exascale computing.
- However, it seems that FFT using whole exascale system is not realistic.
- The performance of parallel one-dimensional FFT in K computer (82944 nodes, 10.6 PFlops peak) was only 252 TFlops (approx. 2.4 % of peak).
 - More than 2/3 of the execution time is dominated by allto-all communication.

An example of conditions necessary to achieve exaflops in FFT



- Assuming that computation and communication are completely overlapped, the performance of the FFT depends on the total communication bandwidth.
- The number of data points for FFT: $N = 2^{60}$
 - The number of arithmetic operations: $5N \log_2 N \approx 3.46 \times 10^{20}$
 - Memory usage: 16EB
- The number of nodes: $P = 2^{24} \approx 1.68 \times 10^7$
 - All-to-all message size: 64KB

In this case, the communication bandwidth of approx. 15.9 GB/s per link is required. 6) What would be a good FFT benchmark or a good way to include the FFT in a high-performance computer benchmark?



- The FT benchmark of NAS Parallel Benchmarks (NPB) and the G-FFT of HPC Challenge Benchmark (HPCC) are good benchmarks.
- However, in recent supercomputers, these benchmarks are becoming a benchmark for all-to-all communication rather than measuring the performance of FFT.